RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 0 5 2007

FAX COVER SHEET

ТО	Commissioner of Patents
COMPANY	USPTO
FAX NUMBER	15712738300
FROM	Nancy Lord
DATE	2007-04-05 17:08:21 GMT
RE	10/823,175

COVER MESSAGE

Attached please find Request for Continued Examination or Application No. 10/823,175:

Transmittal
Fee Transmittal
Credit Card Form
Request for Continued Examination
Copy of Advisory Action

Nancy Lord

RECEIVED GENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 0 5 2007

PTO/SB/21 (09-06)

Approved for use through 03/31/2007, OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Application Number 10/823 175 10/823.175 Filing Date TRANSMITTAL April 13, 2004 First Named Inventor FORM Mary J. Ruwart Art Unit 1655 **Examiner Name** Susan McCormick Ewoldt (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number **RUW-001** Total Number of Pages in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC Ιx Drawing(s) Fee Transmittal Form Appeal Communication to Board Х Licensing-related Papers Fee Attached of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC Petition (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Amendment/Reply Petition to Convert to a Х Proprietary Information After Final Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Status Letter Affidavits/declaration(s) Change of Correspondence Address Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify Terminal Disclaimer Extension of Time Request below): Request for Refund **Express Abandonment Request** CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Landscape Table on CD Certified Copy of Priority Remarks Request for Continued Examination Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Nancy Lord, Ltd Signature Printed name Nancy Lord Date April 5, 2007 Reg. No. 45.462 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in a Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature April 5, 2007 Date Nancy Lord Typed or printed name

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PTO/SB/17i (09-06)

Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PROCESSING FEE Under 37 CFR 1.17(i) TRANSMITTAL

(Fees are subject to annual revision)

Send completed form to: Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Application Number	10/823,175	
Filing Date	April 13, 2004	RECEIVED
First Named Inventor	Mary J. Ruwart	CENTRAL FAX CENTER
Art Unit	1655	
Examiner Name	Susan McCormick Ewoldt	APR 0 5 2007
Attorney Docket Number	RUW-001	

Enclosed is a paper filed under 37 CFR 1.103(c) that require Payment of \$ 395.00 is enclosed. This form should be included with the above-mentioned paper and faxed or mailed tapplicable. For transmittal of petition fees under 37 CFR 1.17(f), (g) or (h), see form	to the Office using the appropriate Mail Stop, if					
Payment of Fees (small entity amounts are NOT available for the processing fees)						
The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the following fees to processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(i) any deficiency Enclose a duplicative copy of this form for fee processing.						
Check in the amount of \$ is enclosed	•					
Payment by credit card (Form PTO-2038 or equivalent enclosed). Do	not provide credit card information on this form.					
Processing Fees under 37 CFR 1.17(i): Fee \$130 Fee Code 1808 for Except for § 1.221	all, papers (Fee Code 1803)					
For papers filed under: § 1.28(c)(3) - for processing a non-itemized fee deficiency based on an error in small entity status. § 1.41 - for supplying the name or names of the inventor or inventors after the filing date without an oath or declaration as prescribed by § 1.63, except in provisional applications. § 1.68 - for correcting inventorship, except in provisional applications. § 1.52(d) - for processing a nonprovisional application filed with a specification in a language other than English. § 1.53(b)(3) - to convert a provisional application filed under § 1.53(c) into a nonprovisional application under § 1.53(b). § 1.71(g)(2) - to enter an amendment to the specification for purposes of 35 U.S.C. 103(c)(2) if not filed within the cited time periods § 1.99(e) - for processing a belated submission under § 1.99. § 1.103(b) - for requesting limited suspension of action, continued prosecution application (§ 1.53(d)). § 1.103(c) - for requesting limited suspension of action, request for continued examination (§ 1.114). § 1.103(d) - for requesting deferred examination of an application. § 1.217 - for processing a redacted copy of a paper submitted in the file of an application in which a redacted copy was submitted for the patent application publication. § 1.221 - for requesting voluntary publication or republication of an application. Fee Code 1803 § 1.291(c)(5) - for processing a second or subsequent protest by the same real party in Interest. § 1.497(d) - for filing an oath or declaration pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4) naming an inventive entity different from the inventive entity set forth in the international stage. § 3.81 - for a patent to issue to assignee, assignment submitted after payment of the issue fee.						
_ Najkod	April 5, 2007					
Signature Nancy Lord	Date 45,462					
Typed or printed name	Registration No., if applicable					

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 5 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

٠:

_	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1			
Advisory Action	10/823,175	RUWART, MARY J.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Susan McCormick-Ewoldt	1655				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 20 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S ADDITION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date. 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft bitce of Appeal (with appeal fee) in- ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply me of the final rejection.	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	FR 41.31; or (3) of the following			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the polition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malking date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,						
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	i, will <u>not</u> be entered t	pecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see INC	TE below);				
(L) The control of th	uni.		the iceuse for			
(c) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE being (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being the application in being the second of the	etter form for appeal by materially n	eaucing or simplifying	title issues to			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	1			
NOTE: C Continuation Shoot (See 37 CFR 1	116 and 41.33(a)).		(DTOL 224)			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	i):	timely filed amendm	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w ovided below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
APPENDATE OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons which is not applicable and the showing of good and sufficient reasons which is not applicable and the showing of good and sufficient reasons which is not applicable and the showing of good and sufficient reasons which is not applicable and the showing of good and sufficient reasons which is not applicable and the showing of good and sufficient reasons which is not applicable and the showing of good and sufficient reasons which is not applicable and the showing of good and sufficient reasons which are shown as a showing of good and sufficient reasons which are shown as a showing of good and sufficient reasons where the showing of good and sufficient reason						
filidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> on hecause the affidavit or other evidence falled to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a second of this partners why it is precessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
it or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
t for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
sched Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
e e	•	/~?/_				
		Christopher R. Ta				
	•	Primary Examine				
•		Art Unit: 1655				
· Office						

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 1206

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 3, NOTE: the amended claims would require further consideration and/or search.

Application No.